

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/020,763	12/12/2001	Vijay A. Deshpande	12801.0080.NPUS00	8658
7:	590 08/25/2004	EXAMINER		
Chevron Texaco		DOROSHENK, ALEXA A		
Law Denartment, I	ntellectual Property Un			
1111 Bagby Street		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
Suite 4040		1764		
Houston, TX 7700	2	DATE MAIL ED: 08/25/2004	4	

## Notice of Fee Increase on October 1, 2004

If a reply to a "Notice of Allowance and Fee(s) Due" is filed in the Office on or after October 1, 2004, then the amount due will be higher than that set forth in the "Notice of Allowance and Fee(s) Due" because an increase in fees effective on October 1, 2004 is anticipated. See Revision of Patent Fees for Fiscal Year 2005; Proposed Rule, 69 Fed. Reg. 25861, 25863, 25864 (May 10, 2004).

The current fee schedule is accessible from WEB site (http://www.uspto.gov/main/howtofees.htm).

If the fee paid is the amount shown on the "Notice of Allowance and Fee(s) Due" but not the correct amount in view of the fee increase, a "Notice of Pay Balance of Issue Fee" will be mailed to applicant. In order to avoid processing delays associated with mailing of a "Notice of Pay Balance of Issue Fee," if the response to the Notice of Allowance is to be filed on or after October 1, 2004 (or mailed with a certificate of mailing on or after October 1, 2004), the issue fee paid should be the fee that is required at the time the fee is paid. See Manual of Patent Examining Procedure (MPEP), Section 1306 (Eighth Edition, Rev. 2, May 2004). If the issue fee was previously paid, and the response to the "Notice of Allowance and Fee(s) Due" includes a request to apply a previously-paid issue fee to the issue fee now due, then the difference between the issue fee amount at the time the response is filed and the previously-paid issue fee should be paid. See MPEP Section 1308.01.

Effective October 1, 2004, 37 CFR 1.18 is proposed to be amended by revising paragraphs (a) through (c) to read as set forth below. As stated above, the final fee may be a different amount, and applicant should check the WEB site given above when paying the fee.

Section 1.18 Patent post allowance (including issue) fees.

(a) Issue fee for issuing each original or reissue patent, except a design or plant patent:

except a design of plant patent.	
By a small entity (Sec. 1.27(a))	)\$670.00
By other than a small entity	\$1,340.00
(b) Issue fee for issuing a design p	atent:
By a small entity (Sec. 1.27(a)	)\$245.00
By other than a small entity	\$490.00
(c) Issue fee for issuing a plant pat	
By a small entity (Sec. 1.27(a)	)\$325.00
By other than a small entity	

Questions relating to issue and publication fee payments should be directed to the Customer Service Center of the Office of Patent Publication at (703) 305-8283.

OIPE		_		
Notice of Allowability PRANEW OF	Application No.  10/020,763  Examiner  Alexa A. Doroshenk	Applicant(s)  DESHPANDE, VIJAY A.  Art Unit  1764		
The MAILING DATE of this communication appear All claims being allowable, PROSECUTION ON THE MERITS IS (herewith (or previously mailed), a Notice of Allowance (PTOL-85) of NOTICE OF ALLOWABILITY IS NOT A GRANT OF PATENT RICO of the Office or upon petition by the applicant. See 37 CFR 1.313	(OR REMAINS) CLOSED in th or other appropriate communic GHTS. This application is subj	nis application. If not included cation will be mailed in due course. THIS		
1. A This communication is responsive to the After Final Amenda	ment of 8/5/04.			
2. ☑ The allowed claim(s) is/are <u>3-12,21,23 and 24</u> .				
3. X The drawings filed on 12 December 2001 are accepted by the	he Examiner.			
<ul> <li>4. Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority und a) All b) Some* c) None of the:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* Certified copies not received:</li></ul>	been received. been received in Application Neuments have been received in	No  this national stage application from the		
THIS THREE-MONTH PERIOD IS NOT EXTENDABLE.  5. A SUBSTITUTE OATH OR DECLARATION must be submitted information (PTO-152) which gives	s reason(s) why the oath or de	NER'S AMENDMENT or NOTICE OF claration is deficient.		
<ol> <li>CORRECTED DRAWINGS (as "replacement sheets") must</li> <li>including changes required by the Notice of Draftsperso</li> </ol>		DTA 040\ _#L _J		
1) hereto or 2) to Paper No./Mail Date	MS rateful Drawing Neview ( r	710-946) attached		
(b) ☐ including changes required by the attached Examiner's Paper No./Mail Date	Amendment / Comment or in t	the Office action of		
Identifying indicia such as the application number (see 37 CFR 1.8 each sheet. Replacement sheet(s) should be labeled as such in the	34(c)) should be written on the d e header according to 37 CFR 1	rawings in the front (not the back) of .121(d).		
<ol> <li>DEPOSIT OF and/or INFORMATION about the deposit attached Examiner's comment regarding REQUIREMENT Formula</li> </ol>	it of BIOLOGICAL MATERI OR THE DEPOSIT OF BIOLO	AL must be submitted. Note the OGICAL MATERIAL.		
Attachment(s)	<del>-</del>			
<ol> <li>Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)</li> <li>Notice of Draftperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> </ol>		nal Patent Application (PTO-152)		
3. ☐ Information Disclosure Statements (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 Paper No./Mail Date	Paper No./Mai	<ul> <li>6. ☑ Interview Summary (PTO-413), Paper No./Mail Date <u>20040817</u>.</li> <li>7. ☑ Examiner's Amendment/Comment</li> </ul>		
<ol> <li>Examiner's Comment Regarding Requirement for Deposit of Biological Material</li> </ol>	8. ☐ Examiner's Sta 9. ☐ Other	tement of Reasons for Allowance		
		Alexa A. Doroshenk Examiner Art Unit: 1764		

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-37 (Rev. 1-04) Application/Control Number: 10/020,763

Art Unit: 1764

## **EXAMINER'S AMENDMENT**

- 1. The amendment after final submitted on August 5, 2004 has been entered.
- 2. An examiner's amendment to the record appears below. Should the changes and/or additions be unacceptable to applicant, an amendment may be filed as provided by 37 CFR 1.312. To ensure consideration of such an amendment, it MUST be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee.

Authorization for this examiner's amendment was given in a telephone interview with Frank Turner on August 17, 2004.

The application has been amended as follows:

Page 11, line 28, after "manifold" inserted --- 124 ---.

Page 14, line 1, deleted "232" and inserted --- 234 ---.

Page 14, line 9, deleted "232" and inserted --- 234 ---.

Cancelled claims 14-20 and 25-29.

Claim 3, deleted the word "Amended" from the status identifier and inserted --- Presented ---.

Claim 8, deleted the word "Amended" from the status identifier and inserted --- Presented ---.

Claim 9, deleted the word "Amended" from the status identifier and inserted --- Presented ---.

Claim 12, deleted the word "Amended" from the status identifier and inserted --- Presented ---.

Art Unit: 1764

Claim 23, deleted the words "Re-presented – Formerly Dependent Claim 23" from the status identifier and inserted --- Currently Amended ---.

Claim 24, deleted the word "Amended" from the status identifier and inserted --- Presented ---.

3. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Alexa A. Doroshenk whose telephone number is 571-272-1446. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Thursday from 9:00 AM - 7:30 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Glenn Caldarola can be reached on 571-272-1444. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Álexa A. Doroshenk

Examiner Art Unit 1764

	SEP 0 7 2004 #	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Evaminar Initiated Inter	\2	10/020,763	DESHPANDE, VIJAY A.	
Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary		Examiner	Art Unit	
		Alexa A. Doroshenk	1764	
All Participants:		Status of Application:		
(1) Alexa A. Doroshenk.		(3)		
(2) <u>Frank Turner</u> .		(4)		
Date of Interview: 17 August 2004	<u>4</u>	Time: <u>4:45 pm</u>		
Type of Interview:  ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐  Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: If Yes, provide a brief descriptio	☐ Yes ☐ No	ant's representative)		
Part I.	•			
Rejection(s) discussed: none		·		
Claims discussed: pending claims				
Prior art documents discussed: none				
Part II.				
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DES	SCRIBING THE GENEF	RAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS	DISCUSSED:	
Part III.				
<ul> <li>It is not necessary for applicant directly resulted in the allowance of the interview in the Notice of A</li> <li>It is not necessary for applicant did not result in resolution of all i</li> </ul>	e of the application. The Allowability. to provide a separate re	e examiner will provide a writte ecord of the substance of the	en summary of the substance interview, since the interview	
How Downhows (Examiner/SPE Signature)	(Applicant	/Applicant's Representative Sig	gnature – if appropriate)	

Application No. 10/020,763

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The examiner presented an Examiner's Amendment which was approved by Attorney Turner. The examiner also established that the finality of the action mailed June 3, 2004 was correct since "original" claims 7, 15, 17, 18 and 20 are dependent upon claims which had been amended by applicant.